UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,424	05/10/2005	Kaoru Miyamoto	47232.5003/00US	4728
JENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P. A. Suite 1200 UNIVERSITY TOWER			EXAMINER	
			DUNSTON, JENNIFER ANN	
3100 TOWER BLVD., DURHAM, NC 27707			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/534,424	MIYAMOTO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JENNIFER DUNSTON	1636		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ja</u>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,7,8,12 and 13 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7,8 and 12 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	drawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 May 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: Appendices I	ate atent Application		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/13/2008 has been entered.

Receipt is acknowledged of an amendment, filed 11/13/2008, in which claims 2 and 6 were canceled, claim 1 was amended, and claim 13 was newly added. Claims 1, 7, 8, 12 and 13 are pending.

Any objection or rejection of record in the previous office actions not addressed herein is withdrawn.

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 8/2/2007 is acknowledged.

Claims 7, 8 and 12 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 8/2/2007.

Claims 1 and 13 are under consideration.

# Response to Amendment - Declaration of Kazuya Yamada

The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 3/17/2008 is sufficient to overcome the rejection of claim 1 based upon insufficiency of disclosure under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as set forth in the last Office action.

The declaration provides evidence that <u>the</u> sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 can repress transcription from the rat the rat PKM and HKII promoters in cells co-transfected with NF-Y protein.

This evidence has been reconsidered in light of the amendments to the claims in the reply filed 11/13/2008 and the evidence on the record as a whole. Furthermore, Yamada et al (Frontiers in Bioscience, Vol. 14, pages 3724-3732, January 2009) provide evidence that the expression of ZHX3 is decreased in hepatoma cells of rat, and evidence that ZHX3 is capable of repressing the transcription of type II hexokinase and pyruvate kinase M genes in rat hepatoma cells.

### Response to Arguments - 35 USC § 112

The rejection of claims 2 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (enablement), is most in view of Applicant's cancellation of the claims in the reply filed 11/13/2008.

Applicant's arguments, see pages 5-6, filed 11/13/2008, with respect to the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (enablement), have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous rejection of claim 1 has been withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 2 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (written description), is most in view of Applicant's cancellation of the claims in the reply filed 11/13/2008.

Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-8, filed 11/13/2008, with respect to the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (written description), have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous rejection of claim 1 has been withdrawn.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishikawa et al (DNA Research, Vol. 4, No. 5, pages 307-313, 1997; see the entire reference), as evidenced by GenBank Accession No. BAA23691.2 (GI: 20521029, May 2002; see the entire reference). This is a new rejection.

Ishikawa et al teach a protein of 459 amino acids encoded by cDNA clone KIAA0395 (e.g., Table 1).

GenBank Accession No. BAA23691 is cited only to show the amino acid sequence of the KIAA0395 protein taught by Ishikawa et al. The sequence of KIAA0395 is 100% identical to amino acids 242-502 of instant SEQ ID NO: 1 and is more than 85% identical to SEQ ID NO: 1 (878/956 amino acids or 92% identical to SEQ ID NO: 1; see the attached alignment in Appendix I). The high percent identity (99% identity to amino acids 78-956 of SEQ I DNO: 1) indicates that the KIAA0395 protein would have the same function as instant SEQ ID NO: 1, such as the repression of type II hexokinase and pyruvate kinase M gene expression.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tang et al (WO 01/57190 A2, cited in a prior action; see the entire reference) in view of GenBank Accession No. BAA23691.2 (GI: 20521029, May 2002; see the entire reference). This is a new rejection.

Tang et al teach that isolated polypeptides are separated from their natural source and contain, if anything, only a solvent, buffer, ion, or other component present in solution (e.g., page 11, lines 19-24). Tang et al teach an isolated polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 1479 (e.g., page 28, lines 9-11). Further, Tang et al teach that the sequence of disclosed polypeptides may be modified by substitution of a selected amino acid residue in the coding sequence (e.g., page 31,

Art Unit: 1636

lines 1-9). Moreover, Tang et al teach that methods of determining the identity and/or similarity of two polypeptides are known in the art (e.g., page 33, lines 1-15). Tang et al teach that guidance in determining which amino acid residues may be replaced, added or deleted without abolishing activities of interest may be found by comparing the sequence of the particular polypeptide with that of homologous peptides and minimizing the number of amino acid sequence changes made in regions of high homology or by replacing amino acids with a consensus sequence (e.g., page 10, lines 9-15).

The amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1479 is 956 amino acids in length and 99.9% identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 (see the attached alignment in Appendix II). The only difference between SEQ ID NO: 1479 of Tang et al and instant SEQ ID NO: 1 is that it contains an asparagine residue at position 310, rather than a serine residue.

Tang et al do not teach a composition comprising a protein consisting of SEQ ID NO: 1, because position 310 is an asparagine residue.

GenBank Accession No. BAA23691.2 teaches the amino acid sequence of the KIAA0395.

It would have been within the skill of the art at the time the invention was made for the ordinary artisan to align the sequence of Tang et al with the sequence of GenBank Accession No. BAA23691.2. Sequence alignment is taught by Tang et al (e.g., page 31, lines 1-9). An alignment of the sequence of Tang et al and GenBank Accession No. BAA23691.2 is provided in Appendix III. Tang et al teach that it is within the skill of the art to make single amino acid substitutions based upon a sequence comparison with a homologous peptide. Because GenBank Accession No. BAA23691.2 teaches a homologous peptide with a serine at position 310 of the

amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1479 of Tang et al, it would have been within the skill of the art at the time the invention was made to replace the asparagine at position 310 with a serine in order to achieve the predictable result of providing a functional protein by substituting one naturally occurring amino acid for another.

## Response to Arguments - 35 USC § 102

The rejection of claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tang et al is most in view of Applicant's cancellation of the claim in the reply filed 11/13/2008.

The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tang et al has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment to the claim in the reply filed 11/13/2008. Tang et al do not teach a protein that comprises amino acids 242-502 of SEQ ID NO: 1.

The rejection of claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Isogai et al is moot in view of Applicant's cancellation of the claim in the reply filed 11/13/2008.

The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Isogai et al has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment to the claim in the reply filed 11/13/2008. Isogai et al do not teach a protein that comprises amino acids 242-502 of SEQ ID NO: 1.

#### Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Dunston whose telephone number is 571-272-2916. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9 am to 5 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,424 Page 8

Art Unit: 1636

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached at 571-272-0951. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jennifer Dunston, Ph.D.

Examiner

Art Unit 1636

/JD/

/Celine X Qian /

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1636